arXiv:1609.09407v1 [math.CO] 29 Sep 2016

On the combinatorics of commutators of Lie algebras

Felipe Y. Yasumura* Eduardo E. A. Hitomi†

September 2016

Abstract

Motivated by the combinatorial properties of products in Lie algebras, we investigate the set $\mathscr{T}_m$ of permutations related to the long commutator

$$[x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m} \pm x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(m)},$$

where this long commutator is assumed to be left normed, that is

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1 \\ [x_1, \ldots, x_m] &= [[x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}], x_m]. \end{aligned}$$

We characterize the set $\mathscr{T}_m$ and find some useful equivalences.

Moreover, we explore properties concerning the action of $\mathscr{T}_m$ on sequences of m elements. In particular we describe sequences that share some special symmetries which can be useful in the study of combinatorial properties in graded Lie algebras.

1. Introduction and Notations. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_m = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_m$ denote the set of bijections of I_m. We assume that the elements of $\mathcal{S}_m$ acts by left on I_m, that is, if $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_m$ then $\sigma \circ \tau$ stands for applying τ first and then σ.

We are interested in studying the subset $\mathscr{T}_m$ of $\mathcal{S}_m$, where given an associative algebra A and given elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$,

$$[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m} \pm x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(m)},$$

where the long commutator is left normed, that is, we define

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= x_1 x_2 - x_2 x_1, \\ [x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m] &= [[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1}], x_m], \quad \text{for } m > 2. \end{aligned}$$

The set $\mathscr{T}_m$, its properties and relations with Lie algebras was intensely investigated.

*Supported by FAPESP, grant number 2013/22.802-1

†Supported by CAPES (Brazil)

Properties of free Lie algebras can be studied and derived by combinatorial properties of the group algebra [2, 4, 5], by means of the set $\mathscr{T}_m$ and the Dynkin mapping [4]. Also, properties of representations and decomposition into irreducible $\mathcal{S}_m$-modules of free Lie algebras can be computed (see [3, 5]). The survey [4] is a great source for the theory.

Volichenko [6] studied ideals of identities of Lie algebras related to those of the algebra sl_n of the traceless $n \times n$ matrices. He described the unique maximal T-ideal in the free Lie algebra that contains no polynomials of the form $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma [x_0, x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}]$. The latter polynomial viewed as a Lie and associative element was also studied by Benediktovich and Zalesski, see [1].

We will state some equivalences of $\mathscr{T}_m$, and then we will derive properties concerning actions by permutations of sequences restricted to $\mathscr{T}_m$. Both theories are important tools to study upper triangular matrices algebras in the graded and the ordinary case. We hope the theory developed here can be useful to other algebras.

2. Some equivalences. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_m$ the symmetric group permuting the symbols $1, 2, \ldots, m$. Following [4], let

$$\mathscr{T}_m = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m \mid \sigma(1) > \sigma(2) > \cdots > \sigma(t) = 1, \sigma(t+1) < \sigma(t+2) < \cdots < \sigma(m)\}.$$

There are some equivalences to define the above set:

Lemma 1 ([4])**.** *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$;*
2. *there exists r such that: $\sigma(j) > \sigma(j+1)$ if and only if $1 \leq j \leq r$;*
3. *there exists $j_1 > j_2 > \cdots > j_r > 1$ such that*

$$\sigma = (j_r \ldots 1) \cdots (j_1 \ldots 1).$$

Moreover, $j_i = \sigma(i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

Also given an associative algebra A and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in A$,

$$[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m} (-1)^{\sigma^{-1}(1)-1} x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(m)}.$$

We will not focus on properties of such sets in the context of group algebras (for reference, see [4]). If we write the elements of $\mathcal{S}_m$ in two row notation, like

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & m \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \ldots & \sigma(m) \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can easily recognize if σ is an element of $\mathscr{T}_m$ or not, by definition. Also, it is easy to see that for every $r = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, r$ in the second row appear together, in "only one block".

Also, it is not hard to derive the following equivalence:

Lemma 2. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$. Then $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$ if and only if σ satisfies the following condition: there exists an integer t, with $1 \le t \le m$, such that*

(i) $\sigma(t) = 1$;

(ii) for every positive integers k_1, $k_2 \ge 0$ such that $k_1 + k_2 < m$ and

$$\{\sigma(t-k_1), \sigma(t-k_1+1), \ldots, \sigma(t+k_2)\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, k_1+k_2+1\},$$

it holds that either

- $t - k_1 - 1 \ge 1$ *and* $\sigma(t-k_1-1) = k_1 + k_2 + 2$ *or*
- $t + k_2 + 1 \le m$ *and* $\sigma(t+k_2+1) = k_1 + k_2 + 2$.

We denote $\mathscr{T}_m^{(t)} = \{\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m \mid \sigma(t) = 1\}$.

The previous lemma is useful for inductive arguments concerning the elements of $\mathscr{T}_m$. Some of the following lemmas have shorter proofs, but we prefer maintaining such arguments involving the previous lemma, aiming to give new intuition to $\mathscr{T}_m$.

The following is convenient for our applications:

Lemma 3. *Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$ be strictly upper triangular matrix units such that their associative product $r_1 r_2 \cdots r_m \neq 0$. Then*

(i) $r_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} r_{\sigma^{-1}(2)} \cdots r_{\sigma^{-1}(m)} \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\sigma = 1$;

(ii) $[r_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, r_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \cdots, r_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}] \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$.

Proof. Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m$ be strictly upper triangular matrices such that their associative product $r_1 r_2 \cdots r_m \neq 0$. Then $r_{\sigma(1)} r_{\sigma(2)} \cdots r_{\sigma(m)} \neq 0$ if and only if $\sigma = 1$, since every $r_l = e_{i_l j_l}$ and $i_l < j_l$, and $r_l r_k \neq 0$ if and only if $j_l = i_k$.

Now consider the Lie case. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$ be such that

$$[r_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, r_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \ldots, r_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}] \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

Assume $t = \sigma^{-1}(1)$. Since $[r_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, r_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}] \neq 0$, it follows that either $\sigma^{-1}(2) = t+1$ or $\sigma^{-1}(2) = t - 1$. Iterating this and using induction we obtain that $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$. The same idea can be used to prove the converse, that is (1) holds for each $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$. □

We draw the reader's attention that in general $\mathscr{T}_m$ is not even a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}_m$.

Example 4. Consider the permutations

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathscr{T}_3$ but $\sigma_2 \circ \sigma_1 \notin \mathscr{T}_3$. Hence $\mathscr{T}_m$ is not in general a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_m$.

The following are immediate consequences from the definition:

Lemma 5. *If $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$, then $\sigma^{-1}(m) \in \{1, m\}$.*

Lemma 6. *For every $t = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $|\mathscr{T}_m^{(t)}| = \binom{t-1}{m-1}$ and $|\mathscr{T}_m| = 2^{m-1}$.*

Proof. Given $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m^{(t)}$, we have $\sigma(t) = 1$. Now, we can have $\sigma(t+1) = 2$ or $\sigma(t-1) = 2$, that is, we can choose between "going one step down" or "going one step up". Using this idea, we can interpret the element $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m^{(t)}$ as a choice of $t-1$ "steps down" and $m-t-1$ "steps up", and there are exactly $\binom{t-1}{m-1}$ ways of doing this.

For the second part, one has

$$|\mathscr{T}_m| = \sum_{t=1}^{m} |\mathscr{T}_m^{(t)}| = \sum_{t=1}^{m} \binom{t-1}{m-1} = 2^{m-1}.$$

and the proof is complete. □

We remark that if $m_1 \leq m_2$ we can consider S_{m_1} as a subgroup of S_{m_2} in the usual manner, that is the elements of S_{m_1} fix all symbols $t > m_1$. Using the same identification, we can consider $\mathscr{T}_{m_1}$ as a subset of $\mathscr{T}_{m_2}$. From definition we obtain an interesting consequence.

Corollary 7. *Let $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$ and $m_1 = \sigma(1)$. Then $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m_1}$ (that is, $\sigma(t) = t$ for $t > m_1$).*

Proof. Direct from Lemma 1, item 3. □

Now, consider the following special subset of permutations in $\mathscr{T}_m$:

Definition 8. For every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, the i-reverse permutation is given by

$$\tau_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \ldots & m \\ i & i-1 & \ldots & 2 & 1 & i+1 & i+2 & \ldots & m \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that:

Lemma 9. *For every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ one has $\tau_i \in \mathscr{T}_m^{(i)}$ and $\tau_i^2 = 1$.*

We give an alternative definition for these permutations:

Lemma 10. *For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m > 1$, we have $\mathscr{T}_{m-1} \cup (\mathscr{T}_{m-1} \cdot \tau_m) = \mathscr{T}_m$.*

Proof. We have already seen that $\mathscr{T}_{m-1} \subset \mathscr{T}_m$. Given $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-1}$, we want to prove that $\sigma\tau_m \in \mathscr{T}_m$. We know, by definition, that

$$\sigma(1) > \sigma(2) > \cdots > \sigma(t), \quad \sigma(t) < \sigma(t+1) < \cdots < \sigma(m),$$

where $t = \sigma^{-1}(1)$. Hence

$$\sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(m)}_{1}) > \sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(m-1)}_{2}) > \ldots > \sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(m-t+1)}_{m}),$$
$$\sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(m-t+1)}_{m}) < \sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(m-t)}_{m-1}) < \cdots < \sigma(\underbrace{\tau_m(1)}_{m}),$$

and, again by definition, this proves that $\sigma \circ \tau_m \in \mathscr{T}_m$. Since the quantity of elements of $\mathscr{T}_{m-1} \cup (\mathscr{T}_{m-1} \cdot \tau_m)$ is exactly $2|\mathscr{T}_{m-1}| = 2^{m-1}$, we obtain the equality. □

Corollary 11. $\mathscr{T}_m = \left\{\tau_1^{i_1} \circ \tau_2^{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_m^{i_m} \mid i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \in \{1,2\}\right\}$.

Note that it is easy to obtain the decomposition of elements of $\mathscr{T}_m$ into product of τ_i. We can also obtain an alternative characterization, other than by means of Lemma 1, item 3, when the product of two elements of $\mathscr{T}_m$ still belongs to $\mathscr{T}_m$.

Example 12. Let $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathscr{T}_6$. By Corollary 7, we know that $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_4$, and it is easy to see that necessarily, in the decomposition of σ in i-reverse permutations, τ_4 appears in this product. We have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \circ \tau_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument we obtain $\sigma \circ \tau_4 \in \mathscr{T}_2$, and

$$\sigma \circ \tau_4 \circ \tau_2 = 1.$$

Hence $\sigma = \tau_2 \circ \tau_4$.

Remark. Now, using ideas presented in [4] concerning elements in the group algebra, and using Corollary 11, we obtain the following extra fact. Consider the element $v_m = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m} (-1)^{\sigma^{-1}(1)-1}\sigma$ in the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{S}_m$. Then:

1. [4] $v_m = \prod_{i=m}^{2} (1 - (i, i-1, \ldots, 1)) = (1 - (m, m-1, \ldots, 1)) \cdots (1 - (2 \quad 1))$.

2. $v_m = \prod_{i=2}^{m} (1 - (-1)^i \tau_i) = (1 - \tau_2)(1 + \tau_3) \cdots (1 - (-1)^m \tau_m)$.

3. Action on sequences. Let S be any set, and fix a sequence $s \in S^m$. Then we have a left action of $\mathcal{S}_m$ on the elements $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_m) \in S$ permuting the order of the elements

$$\sigma(s_1, s_2, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_m) = (s_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, s_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \ldots, s_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}), \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m.$$

Definition 13. Given two sequences s, $s' \in S^m$, we say that s and s' are mirrored if for all τ', $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$, we can find τ, $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that $\sigma s = \sigma' s'$ and $\tau' s' = \tau s$.

Notation. Given $s \in S^m$, we denote by $\operatorname{rev} s \coloneqq \tau_m s$, the reverse sequence of s.

Example 14. The trivial example: for any $s \in S^m$, s and s are mirrored.

Example 15. If $s, s' \in S^m$ are mirrored then s and $\operatorname{rev} s'$ are also mirrored.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$. Then there exists $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that $\sigma s = \sigma' s'$, since s and s' are mirrored. Also $\sigma'' := \sigma' \tau_m \in \mathscr{T}_m$, by Corollary 11, and

$$\sigma'' \mathrm{rev}\, s' = \sigma' \tau_m \tau_m s' = \sigma' s' = \sigma s.$$

Conversely, given $\tau' \in \mathscr{T}_m$, we have $\tau' \tau_m \in \mathscr{T}_m$ and there exists $\tau \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that $\tau s = \tau' \tau_m s' = \tau' \mathrm{rev}\, s'$. Hence s and $\mathrm{rev}\, s'$ are mirrored. □

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 1. *Two sequences s and s' are mirrored if and only if $s = s'$ or $s = rev\, s'$.*

We have already proved one of the implications in the two previous examples. In what follows, we prove the other implication.

Notation. We denote $I_m = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Note that any $s \in S^m$ can be viewed as a function $s : I_m \to S$ and for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$, $\sigma s = s \circ \sigma^{-1} : I_m \to S$ (equality of functions). Given integers $0 < m_2 \leq m$, we denote by $I^{(m)}_{-m_2} = \{m, m-1, \ldots, m - m_2 + 1\}$, and $I^{(m)}_0 = \varnothing$ (the last m_2 elements of I_m).

First we deduce several useful properties.

Definition 16. Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$, and set $A = s(1)$. A coincidence of (s, s') is a pair (m_1, m_2) where $m_1 > 0$ and $m_2 \geq 0$ are integers satisfying:

1. $s(i) = s'(i) = A$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m_1$, and $i = m, m-1, \ldots, m - m_2 + 1$,
2. $s(m_1 + 1) \neq A$, $s'(m_1 + 1) \neq A$, $s(m - m_2) \neq A$ and $s'(m - m_2) \neq A$.

In this context, we denote $m' = m_1 + m_2$, $I^{(-)}_m = I_{m_1} \cup I^{(m)}_{-m_2}$ and $I'_m = I_m \setminus I^{(-)}_m$.

Lemma 17. *Let $m_1, m_2 \geq 0$ with $m_1 + m_2 \leq m$. Then $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$ satisfies:*

- $\sigma(m - i + 1) = m - i + 1$, *for* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m_2$,
- $\sigma(i) = m - m_2 - i + 1$, *for* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m_1$,

if and only if $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m_1-m_2} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m_1-m_2} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$, then clearly $\sigma(m - i + 1) = m - i + 1$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m_2$. Also, writing $\sigma = \sigma' \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$, we have $\sigma(1) = \sigma'(m - m_2) = m - m_2$, since $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m_1-m_2}$, and using the same idea, we see that σ satisfies the second condition.

We can prove the converse using the same idea. □

Example 18. It is easy to represent such a permutation $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m_1-m_2} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$: the last m_2 entries of σ are:

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & m - m_2 + 1 & \ldots & m - 1 & m \\ \ldots & m - m_2 + 1 & \ldots & m - 1 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

and the first m_1 entries are:

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m_1 & \dots \\ m_0 & m_0 - 1 & \dots & m_0 - m_1 + ' & \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $m_0 = m - m_2$.

The following example serves as a motivation for considering the above permutations and the notion of coincidence:

Example 19. Let (m_1, m_2) be a coincidence for (s, s'), and let $A = s(1)$. Then s and s' are written as:

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (\underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{m_1 \text{ times}}, B_1, \dots, B_l, \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{m_2 \text{ times}}) \\ s' &= (A, \dots, A, B_1', \dots, B_l', A, \dots, A), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 \neq A$, $B_1' \neq A$, $B_l \neq A$ and $B_l' \neq A$. A permutation $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$ will act like:

$$\sigma s = (C_1, \dots, C_l, \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{m' \text{ times}}),$$

where $C_l \neq A$.

Let $s : I_m \to S$. Then there is a coincidence (m_1, m_2) of (s, s), and we can consider the restriction $s_0 = s\,|_{I_m'}$. Denote $\varphi : n \in I_{m-m'} \mapsto n + m_1 \in I_m'$, and let

$$\mathscr{T}_m' = \left\{ \varphi \circ \sigma \circ \varphi^{-1} \mid \sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \right\}.$$

For every $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$, we can define an element $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$ by

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma(i), & \text{if } i \in I_m', \\ m - i + 1 - m_2, & \text{if } i \in I_{m_1}, \\ i, & \text{if } i \in I_{-m_2}^{(m)}. \end{cases}$$

Hence we can see $\mathscr{T}_m'$ as a subset of $\mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$. In particular, both sets have the same cardinality, so we have equality of sets. It is also easy to see what an action of $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$ on s_0 will be.

Example 20. Given $s : I_m \to S$, write

$$s = (\underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{m_1 \text{ times}}, B_1, \dots, B_l, \underbrace{A, \dots, A}_{m_2 \text{ times}}),$$

with $B_l \neq A$. An element $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$ acts like $\sigma s = (C_1, \dots, C_l, A, \dots, A)$, with $C_l \neq A$. Using this notation, we will have a coincidence (m_1, m_2) of (s, s), $s_0 = s\,|_{I_m'} = (B_1, \dots, B_l)$ and $\sigma s_0 = (C_1, \dots, C_l)$.

Lemma 21. *Using the above notation, if $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$ satifies*

$$\{\sigma^{-1}(m), \sigma^{-1}(m-1), \ldots, \sigma^{-1}(m-m'+1)\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m_1, m, m-1, \ldots, m-m_2+1\},$$

there exists $\tau \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$ such that $\sigma s = \tau s$.

Proof. Note that, in this case, $\varphi \circ \sigma(I'_m) \subset I'_m$, so we can see σ as an element of $\mathscr{T}'_m$, and therefore we can construct one such $\tau \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$. □

Lemma 22. *Let $s, s' : I_m \to S$ be mirrored and assume that there is a coincidence (m_1, m_2) of (s, s'). Then $s_0 = s\,|_{I'_m}$ and $s'_0 = s'\,|_{I'_m}$ are mirrored.*

Proof. For every $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_{m-m'} \circ \tau_{m-m_2}$, we can find $\tau \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that $\sigma s = \tau s'$. We consider $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}'_m$, hence it is sufficient to prove that τ is an element of $\mathscr{T}'_m$. Using the previous lemma, assume that there is i such that $i \in \{m, m-1, \ldots, m-m'+1\}$ and $\tau^{-1}(i) = m_1 + 1$ (or $\tau^{-1}(i) = m - m_2$). By the definition of coincidence, we know that $s'(m_1+1) \neq A$ and $s'(m-m_2) \neq A$, where $A = s(1)$. Also, by the choice of σ, we know that $\sigma^{-1}(i) \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m_1, m, m-1, \ldots, m-m_2+1\}$. Therefore $\sigma s(i) = A$ (see example 19). Thus $\tau s'(i) \neq A = \sigma s(i)$, a contradiction. This proves that we can find $\tau' \in \mathscr{T}'_m$ with $\tau' r' = \tau r$, and in particular, $\sigma s_0 = \tau' s'_0$. Since a coincidence (s, s') is also a coincidence of (s', s), we can repeat the argument and prove that s_0 and s'_0 are mirrored. □

Definition 23. Let $s : I_m \to S$ and $w : I_d \to S$ with $d \leq m$. Let

$$\mathscr{O}(s, w) = \{(\sigma, i) \in \mathscr{T}_m \times I_m \mid \sigma s(i+j) = w(1+j), \forall j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, d-1\},$$

denote $n : (\sigma, i) \in \mathscr{T}_m \times I_m \mapsto i \in I_m$, and define

$$o_w(s) = \begin{cases} \min\{n(x) \mid x \in \mathscr{O}(s, w)\}, \text{ if } \mathscr{O}(s, w) \neq \varnothing, \\ \infty, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 24. *Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$. If there exists $w : I_d \to S$ such that $o_w(s) \neq o_w(s')$, then s and s' cannot be mirrored.*

Proof. Assume $i = o_w(s) < o_w(s')$. In this case, there will exist $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that σs has i entries, followed by the entries of w. However it is impossible to get such $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$ satisfying the same property, since in this case we would obtain $o_w(s') \leq i$, and in particular, $\sigma' s' \neq \sigma s$, for all $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$. □

Example 25. Let $s = (A, A, B, C, D)$ and $s' = (A, B, C, D, A)$. Then if $w = (A, A)$, we have $o_w(s) = 1$ and $o_w(s') = 4$, hence s and s' can not be mirrored. This can also be viewed directly: let $\sigma = id \in \mathscr{T}_m$, then there is no $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$ such that $\sigma' s' = \sigma s = s$.

Notation.

(i) Given $w_1 : I_{d_1} \to S$ and $w_2 : I_{d_2} \to S$, we denote by $w = (w_1, w_2)$ the sequence $w : I_{d_1+d_2} \to S$ defined by

$$w(i) = \begin{cases} w_1(i), \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq d_1, \\ w_2(i-d_1), \text{ if } i > d_1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Analogously we define $(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_p)$.

(iii) Given $A \in S$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $A_d : I_d \to S$ the constant sequence $A_d(1) = \cdots = A_d(d) = A$.

We focus now on the special case where $S = \{A, B\}$ has exactly 2 symbols.

Definition 26. Let $s : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$. Let $n_1 \geq 0$ be the largest integer such that

$$s(1) = s(2) = \cdots = s(n_1) = A,$$

and, for this n_1, let $n_2 > 0$ be the largest integer such that

$$s(n_1 + 1) = s(n_1 + 2) = \cdots = s(n_1 + n_2) = B.$$

Continuing this process, we obtain the sequence $\Sigma(s) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2t-1}, n_{2t})$ where we can have $n_1 = 0$ and we can have $n_{2t} = 0$. We call it the spectrum sequence of s.

Definition 27. Let $s : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2t\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, let $\Sigma(s)(l) = 0$, for $l \notin \{1, 2, \ldots, 2t\}$, and let

$$e_i^{(j)}(s) = \begin{cases} \Sigma(s)(i), \text{ if } j = 0, \\ \Sigma(s)(i + j) + \Sigma(s)(i - j), \text{ if } j > 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore we define $m_A^{(1)}(s) = \max\{\Sigma(s)(2i + 1) \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$, and $I_A^{(1)}(s) = \{2i + 1 \mid \Sigma(s)(2i + 1) = m_A^{(1)}(s)\}$, and inductively for $i > 1$,

$$m_A^{(i)}(s) = \begin{cases} \max\{e_l^{(i-1)}(s) \mid l \in I_A^{(i-1)}(s)\}, \text{ if } I_A^{(i-1)}(s) \neq \varnothing, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$I_A^{(i)}(s) = \{l \in I_A^{(i-1)}(s) \mid e_l^{(i-1)}(s) = m_A^{(i)}(s) \text{ and } m_A^{(i)}(s) > 0\}.$$

We make analogous constructions for the symbol B.

Lemma 28. *Given s, $s' : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$, if there exists i such that $m_A^{(i)}(s) \neq m_A^{(i)}(s')$ then s and s' cannot be mirrored.*

Proof. Assume that $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $m_A^{(j)}(s) = m_A^{(j)}(s')$, for $j < i$, and $m_A^{(i)}(s) > m_A^{(i)}(s')$. Let

$$w = (A_{n_1}, B_{n_2}, \ldots, C_{(n_i)}),$$

where $n_j = m_A^{(j)}(s)$, for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, i$, $C = A$ if i is odd and $C = B$ if i is even. Then $o_w(s) = 1 \neq \infty = o_w(s')$, hence s and s' are not mirrored. □

An intuitive approach for the numbers $m_A^{(i)}(s)$ is the following. The greatest sequence of A's is $m_A^{(1)}(s)$. Along these maximum number $m_A^{(1)}(s)$, we see the number of B's after and before the sequence of A's, and the greatest number of B's is denoted by $m_A^{(2)}(s)$. We continue inductively.

Example 29. Let $s = (A_3, B_3, A_3, B_1)$ and $s' = (A_3, B_1, A_3, B_3)$. Then $m_A^{(1)}(s) = m_A^{(1)}(s') = 3$, $m_A^{(2)}(s) = m_A^{(2)}(s') = 4$, $m_A^{(3)}(s) = m_A^{(3)}(s') = 3$, $m_A^{(j)}(s) = m_A^{(j)}(s') = 0, \forall j > 3$. But we have

$$m_B^{(2)}(s) = 6 \neq 3 = m_B^{(2)}(s'),$$

hence s and s' are not mirrored.

Let us consider again $s : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$, and the spectrum sequence $\Sigma(s) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2t-1}, n_{2t})$. Note that

$$I_A^{(1)}(s) \supset I_A^{(2)}(s) \supset \cdots,$$

and that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_A^{(n)}(s) \neq \varnothing$ and $I_A^{(j)}(s) = \varnothing$ for every $j > n$. In this case, if $i \in I_A^{(n)}(s)$ then this entry satisfies the following condition: $e_i^{(n-1)}$ is either the first non-zero entry of $\Sigma(s)$, or the last non-zero entry of $\Sigma(s)$ or either the sum of the first and the last non-zero entries of $\Sigma(s)$. Note that the last possibility happens if and only if A appears "in the middle" if we consider the spectrum sequence $\Sigma(s)$.

Now write $\Sigma(s) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2t-1}, n_{2t})$ and assume $n_1 > 0$ (we can do it renaming A and B, if necessary). Also, define (the "last entry")

$$n_l = \begin{cases} n_{2t-1}, \text{ if } n_{2t} = 0, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use the analogous notation for a given $s' : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$. Assume that s and s' are mirrored. Then $s' = \sigma s$ for some $\sigma \in \mathscr{T}_m$, and $s = \sigma' s'$ for some $\sigma' \in \mathscr{T}_m$. Since $\sigma^{-1}(m)$, $\sigma'^{-1} \in \{1, m\}$, we can assume that (changing s' with $\operatorname{rev} s'$ if necessary) $n_1' > 0$.

Since s and s' are mirrored, we can look at the permutations in $\mathscr{T}_{m-n_1-n_l} \circ \tau_{m-n_l}$ and conclude that necessarily $n_1 + n_l = n_1' + n_l'$. Moreover, since $m_C^{(i)}(s) = m_C^{(i)}(s')$ for all i and for all $C \in \{A, B\}$, we obtain necessarily (by the observation above) $n_i = n_j'$, for at least one pair of i, $j \in \{1, l\}$. As a consequence, using these two equations, we obtain the following

Lemma 30. *If s, $s' : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$ are mirrored then there is a coincidence in (s, s') or in $(s, rev\, s')$.*

Now we use induction in order to prove the following

Proposition 31. *Let s, $s' : I_m \to S = \{A, B\}$ be mirrored. Then $s = s'$ or $s = rev\, s'$.*

Proof. We can assume that (m_1, m_2) is a coincidence for (s, s'), by the previous lemma, changing s' to $\operatorname{rev} s'$, if necessary. Moreover we can consider $s_0 = s|_{I_m'}$ and $s_0' = |_{I_m'}$, which are mirrored, by Lemma 22. By the induction hypothesis, we obtain $s_0 = s_0'$ or $s_0 = \operatorname{rev} s_0'$. The last one implies the following fact: if $\Sigma(s) = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{2t-1}, n_{2t})$ then $\Sigma(s') = (n_1, n_{2t-1}, \ldots, n_2, n_{2t})$. Since $m_C^{(i)}(s) = m_C^{(i)}(s')$ for all i and for all C, we obtain necessarily $s = s'$ or $s = \operatorname{rev} s'$. □

Below we consider when the general case can be reduced to that of 2 symbols.

Definition 32. Let $s : I_m \to S$ where S is any set, let $S_0 \subset S$ and let R be any symbol (it can be an element of S or not). We define the function

$$\pi_{S_0,R}(s) : I_m \to S \cup \{R\}$$

by

$$\pi_{S_0,R}(s)(i) = \begin{cases} s(i), \text{ if } s(i) \in S_0, \\ R, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m$, $\pi_{S_0,R}(\sigma s) = \sigma \pi_{S_0,R}(s)$. In particular, if s and s' are mirrored, then so are $\pi_{S_0,R}(s)$ and $\pi_{S_0,R}(s')$, for any choice of $S_0 \subset S$ and R.

Example 33. Let $s = (A, B, C, D, C)$ and $s' = (A, D, C, B, C)$. It is easy to see that $s \neq s'$ and $s \neq \operatorname{rev} s'$, and s and s' are not mirrored. On the other hand for every choice of $X, Y \in \{A, B, C, D\}$ we have $\pi(s) = \pi(s')$ or $\pi(s) = \operatorname{rev} \pi(s')$ where $\pi = \pi_{\{X\},Y}$.

The previous example shows that we cannot always reduce to the case where S has 2 elements. Thus we study the cases where the reduction is possible.

Definition 34. Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$ where S is any set. An element $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ is called:

(i) direct for the pair (s, s') if for all $i \in s^{-1}(A)$, $s'(i) = A$,

(ii) reverse for the pair (s, s') if for all $i \in s^{-1}(A)$, $\operatorname{rev} s'(i) = A$.

Example 35. Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$.

1. If each $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ is direct for (s, s') then $s = s'$.
2. If each $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ is reverse for (s, s') then $s = \operatorname{rev} s'$.
3. The converses of (1) and (2) hold. That is, if $s = s'$ ($s = \operatorname{rev} s'$, respectively), then each $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ is direct (reverse, respectively) for (s, s').

The motivation for the previous definition is as follows.

Example 36. Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$. If there exists an $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ that is neither direct nor reverse for (s, s') then s and s' are not mirrored.

In this case we can make a reduction: letting $\pi = \pi_{\{A\},B}$, then $s_0 = \pi(s)$ and $s'_0 = \pi(s')$, we have $s_0 \neq s'_0$. The last statement holds since there is an i such that $s(i) = A \neq s'(i)$, hence $\pi(s)(i) = A \neq B = \pi(s')(i)$. By a similar argument, $s_0 \neq \operatorname{rev} s'_0$. In particular, s_0 and s'_0 are not mirrored by Proposition 31, and this implies that s and s' are not mirrored.

Definition 37. Let s, $s' : I_m \to S$ where S is any set. We say that (s, s') is a special pair if every $A \in \operatorname{Im} s$ is either direct or reverse for (s, s').

It is easy to construct examples of special pairs. A particular consequence of the previous examples is the following:

Lemma 38. *If $s,\ s' : I_m \to S$ are mirrored then (s, s') is a special pair.*

Example 39. Let (s, s') be a special pair, and assume that $A = s(1)$ is direct for (s, s'). Then there is a coincidence in (s, s').

Example 40. Let (s, s') be a special pair. For every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $t_i = (1 \quad \tau_m(i)) = (i \quad m - i + 1)$ be a transposition. Let $A = s(i)$, if $s(i) \neq s'(i)$ then A is not direct, and necessarily A is reverse hence $s(i) = t_i s'(i) = s'(m - i + 1)$. Let $B = s(m - i + 1)$. There are two possibilities: B is direct, which implies $A = B$ or B is reverse, and so $s'(i) = B$. In particular, (s, s') is a special pair if and only if (s', s) is a special pair.

Example 41. Let (s, s') be a special pair. Then $(\operatorname{rev} s, s')$ and $(s, \operatorname{rev} s')$ are special pairs. Moreover, A is direct (reverse, respectively) for (s, s') if and only if A is reverse (direct, respectively) for $(s, \operatorname{rev} s')$. Analogously for $(\operatorname{rev} s, s')$.

Lemma 42. *Let $s : I_m \to S$ be such that (s, s') is a special pair where $s' = \tau_{m-1} s$. Then $s = s'$.*

Proof. (Sketch) Let $A = s(1)$. If A is direct for (s, s') then $s(1) = s'(1) = A$, and $s(m-1) = \tau_{m-1} s(1) = s'(1) = A$. Hence, since A is direct, $s'(m-1) = A$ and we can proceed the argument inductively.

If A is reverse, then $A = s'(m) = s(m)$ hence $s'(1) = A$. So $s(m-1) = \tau_{m-1} s(1) = s'(1) = A$, hence $s'(2) = A$, which implies $s(m-2) = A$. Continuing the process, the lemma is proved. □

Note that a similar argument can be used to prove the following:

Lemma 43. *Let (s, s') be a special pair, assume (m_1, m_2) a coincidence of (s, s') and let $s_0 = s\,|_{I'_m}, s'_0 = s'\,|_{I'_m}$. If $s_0 = rev'\, s'_0$ then $s = s'$.*

We are in a position to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $s,\ s' : I_m \to S$ be two sequences where S is any set. Then s and s' are mirrored if and only if $s = s'$ or $s = rev\, s'$.*

Proof. Assume s and s' mirrored. Then (s, s') is a special pair, by Lemma 38, and we can change s' to $\operatorname{rev} s'$ if necessary, to guarantee that there is a coincidence in (s, s').

Now $s_0 = s\,|_{I'_m}$ and $s'_0 = |_{I'_m}$ are mirrored, by Lemma 22, and by the induction hypothesis, $s_0 = s'_0$ or $s_0 = \operatorname{rev}' s'_0$. Both cases imply $s = s'$, by Lemma 43, proving the theorem. □

Probably there is a much more simple and direct proof of the previous theorem.

Acknowledgment.

The authors are grateful to prof. Plamen Koshlukov for reading the manuscript, and for the cordial and useful discussions.

References

[1] I. I. Benediktovich, A. E. Zalesski, *T-ideals of free Lie algebras havinng polynomial growth of their codimensions*, Vesci Akad. Navuk Beloruss, Ser. Fiz. Mat. Navuk **3** (1980), 5–10 (Russian).

[2] D. Blessenohl, and H. Laue, *Generalized Jacobi identities.* Note di Matematica 8.1 (1988): 111–121.

[3] D. Blessenohl, and H. Laue, *On the coefficients in the associative expansion of a Lie word.* European Journal of Combinatorics 12.3 (1991): 205–210.

[4] D. Blessenohl, and H. Laue, *Algebraic combinatorics related to the free Lie algebra.* Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire (Thurnau, 1992), Prépubl. Inst. Rech. Math. Av 1993 (1992): 33.

[5] A. M. Garsia, *Combinatorics of the free Lie algebra and the symmetric group.* Analysis, et cetera (1990): 309–382.

[6] I. B. Volichenko, *On a variety of Lie algebras related to standard identities*, Vesci Akad. Navuk Beloruss, Ser. Fiz. Mat. Navuk **1** (1980), 23–30 (Russian).